

UNITED STA ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE 200 THE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

30. 08/783, 734 01/16/97 ERIEDMAN : 10 15	ా ఎక్కుడ్ ఇండు గాయాకు అడుతున్న గార్జుత్తున్నారి.
in die von der der der de de de de de metalen de	9,) term deleg estates 6,00 t. 17,16,29 F. <u>(84,0,56,75,75,75,</u> 73
en en jour la servicio de la granda de la company de mijorite da his has la company de inde de la mindra de destre de	EXAMINER
- your adversion, divines of the capability of the country of HM4·2/072型 manager ser	consist over as its man verification has made in the consistent
KLHOBER & SHCKSON	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601	Checker of the second of the s
UMCVENDACK NO 0/001	a wychroni mieues caw (6 theory A.) 1647
and the second section of the second of the	DATE MAILED:
INTERVIEW SUMMARY	07/21/00
Consideration of the contract of the second	a feet control, and come charte to inform for
	 In the property of the control of the property of
(1) Crentte & Lugar Ellement	ेंग्रेस ११५ वर्ष नेपार स्थापन अन्यवस्था है वर सेवारी परिवर्ष । वैदेशका
of have a state attender	Satisfied and the Control of the Con
A THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	ការការពេលនេះ ការការការអំពីក្រុមការការនៃការការការការការការការការការការការការការក
Date of Interview 2 - 20	ार बताबाद्या अर्थन के तीन पुरुष 10 के अंक्षित व्यक्ति स्वतिवृद्धां के किया व अनुस्थल के प्राथमिक देव पुरुष्ठां की जातून क्यूनिक क्यान स्वरूप स्थलित है
Type Relephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative	sing novel gatting of the profit of the particular control and particular in No. 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881 - 1881
	নিবার এক এক ই এই কেই কাল্ডার করে করেছে। তার করি হয়। কর্মিক বিভাগ বিভাগ করি করি কিন্তু হার্মিক করিছে।
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: UYes UNo If yes, brief description:	प्रमान तेनुहाँ भी केल केल
	(27 + 27 + 1 + 27 + 1 + 27 + 27 + 27 + 27
Annual Maria Charles of Charles o	mand in the late for making when a 15 ftm real fill is to the late of the companies of the companies
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	to Delice the first of the control o
Claim(s) discussed: All Alam	All the contract of the second
Identification of prior and discussed: Wine Block the Res	husting and fluste
Toolistication of prior an association	
of spelle.	(A) . A: 1 A
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other	r comments: Sultzil was
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other	r comments: A Sultzel was
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other was reached, or any other when the standard of the standard	eligo's The EN pointed
ed about the election of specie for the	eligo The olip may still
t the number of align and the nature and make	eligo Das Expoentes
ed about the election of specie for the	eligo Das Expoentes
t the number of alignment the nature and make it the further, that agreed to discuss with Author was the secret & source. The secret & source of this is the elected Group. The	eligo Das Expoentes
t the number of align and the nature and make it the french to discuss with Author was the promption of the state of the elected Group. It would result along to antisense of rehorance	eligo's The olyo may still more probes since the Ms dufel indicated the
t the number of align and the nature and make ilection, the agreed to discuss with Author was the franches of the fleshed through the fleshed through the fleshed through the full threat claims to antisense of religions (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner	religio De Exporation of the Olyo may state muse proher since the Ms dufel indicated the and the Evanine agreed would render the claims allowable
the number of algo and the nature and make the surface of the discussion of the surface of the amendments, if available, which the examiner must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowed attached.)	religio De Exporation of the Olyo may state muse proher since the Ms dufel indicated the and the Evanine agreed would render the claims allowable
the number of alignment that was with Author was the function, but agreed to discuss with Author was the function, but agreed to discuss with Author was the function of their so the elected broug. The full lamb that alignment of the amendments, if available, which the examiner must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowed attached.) 1/ Det is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	eligo's The Olyo may steet and the Evanue agreed would render the claims allowable be is available, a summary thereof must be
the number of alignment of appears for the control of the number of alignment of the nature and make the nature and make the letter, but agreed to describe with Author was the function, the agreed to describe the elected Swarp. The full terms of the allested Swarp. The full terms of the amendments, if available, which the examiner must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowed attached.) 1) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview	religio De Exporation de la proper serve the Ms despet indicated the agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be w.
the number of algorithm is appeared for the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP'Se	clique Jan Exporation in a the oligo may state man proher sure the Ms despet indicated the agreed would render the claims allowable ole is available, a summary thereof must be w. RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ction 713.04). If a response to the last Office
the number of algorithm is applied for the contract of the number of algorithm is the nature and make the nature and make the first first of this is the elected brougs. The full terms of this is the elected brougs. The full terms of the amendments, if available, which the examiner must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowed attached.) 1) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN	clique Jan Exporation in a the oligo may state man proher sure the Ms despet indicated the agreed would render the claims allowable ole is available, a summary thereof must be w. RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ction 713.04). If a response to the last Office
the number of alignment of appears for the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	religio De Exporation of the Olyo may state of the Olyo may state of the Association of the Evanue agreed would render the claims allowable ole is available, a summary thereof must be w. RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ction 713.04). If a response to the last Office ATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
the number of alignments of appears for the companies the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a comprejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claim.	religio Jan Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form
the number of alignments of the nature and make the function, but agreed to describe the substance of the interview of the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a comp rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not re	religio Jan Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form
**Hu number of alignment of the analysis of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	religio Jan Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form
The number of alignments and the nature and make the nature and nature. The nature of the nature and nature an	religio Jac Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form providing a separate record of
The number of also and the nature and make the nature of the interview of the nature of the na	religio Jac Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form providing a separate record of
The number of alignments and the nature and make the nature and nature. The nature of the nature and nature an	religio Jac Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form providing a separate record of
The number of alignments and the nature and make the nature and nature. The nature of the nature and nature an	religio Jac Exporation of the objections, as are now allowable, this completed form lieved from providing a separate record of

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance finterview must Be Mad of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

theotisms agree \$1,133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

\$-1.2: Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability: vealthia weived

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number; placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

Transmitted L

A Miss Miss on a

ornavig a you

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

STATE OF STA

od komatu

1 12 % 50 J. 2 3

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant Name of examiner
- Date of interview-
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

 An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted.
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes. or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the deneral nature. or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.